

1. Initiator		2. Type of Review		3. RID Number
Name	Ken Hale	<input type="checkbox"/>	General Document Review	00200-152
Organization	NASA, PK-E1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PDR, CDR, ABR , PPR (circle)	
Phone	861-3795	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other _____	
Fax				
5a. Doc. Number	84K00200	6. Doc. Name	System Level Specification (SLS)	
5a. Doc. Revision	Pre-Release 1			
6. Name of RID Team		SLS RID Review Team		
7. Problem				
<p>Today, all application programs are basically copied from one TCID to the next one being built. This is OK if the same programs are used for different flows. However, the capability to build a TCID based on the flow requirements (i.e. vehicle, pad, IMU type, etc.) may be needed. Especially with Shuttle upgrades, vehicles may be in different configurations.</p>				
8. Recommendation				
<p>Clarify how CLCS will include application programs in a TCID.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Hardcopy of Redlines/Comments Attached</p>				
9. Impact if recommendation not implemented				
Initiator - Signature _____ Submission Date _____				
10. Team Recommendation		11. Action Required		
<input type="checkbox"/> Accepted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejected <input type="checkbox"/> Study <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawn <input type="checkbox"/> Deferred to CLCS CCB Screening Panel Comments See Attachment. RID Team Manager - Signature _____		<input type="checkbox"/> Update Document <input type="checkbox"/> Study <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) _____ Comments		
12. Final RID Closure Action		13. Additional Comments/Notes		
<input type="checkbox"/> RID to be incorporated in next revision <input type="checkbox"/> RID to be incorporated in other (specify) RID Team Manager - Signature _____				

Due **NO LATER THAN** May 7, 1997

Response Attachment 200-152

1. The problem statement and recommendation relate to requirements that are needed to support User Application SW development. This version of the SLS does not cover User Application SW requirements. The RID is therefore rejected. Thank you for reviewing the SLS and submitting your RID. Even though we rejected this RID, your input is valuable and we appreciate it. We will use this idea in future development of the appropriate specifications.